

To: Michael Smith. Cranston  
Planning Commission

From: Vincent Moses  
826 Natick Ave.  
Cranston, 02921



**KINDLY INCLUDE THIS MESSAGE IN THE RECORD**

**WHY?:** IN HER DECISION SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE VOGEL CLEARLY SAW THE CITY'S BEING WRONG IN ALLOWING THE ADDITIONAL ENORMOUS NUMBER OF PROPOSAL CHANGES TO BE ADDED WITHOUT ALSO ALLOWING FOR THE PUBLIC TO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND. SOMETHING SMELLS ROTTEN TO ME. SO HERE WE ARE THANKS TO JUDGE VOGEL'S RULING BUT CERTAINLY NO THANKS TO THE INEXCUSABLE ACTIONS AND CONDUCT OF THOSE RESPONSIBLE CITY EMPLOYEES WHOSE BETRAYAL OF THE PUBLIC TRUST IS BEYOND BELIEF AND STRIKES AT THE VERY HEART OF OUR SYSTEM OF GOVERNANCE. TO PURPOSELY ATTEMPT TO CIRCUMVENT/PREVENT PUBLIC INPUT ON THIS MATTER IS BEYOND DESPICABLE. "BY THE PEOPLE, FOR THE PEOPLE AND OF THE PEOPLE" ARE WORDS THAT SHOULD NEVER BE FORGOTTEN BY BOTH THESE BUREAUCRATS AND ALL CITIZENS ALIKE.

**WHO?:** GOOD QUESTION - DO THOSE CITY EMPLOYEES RESPONSIBLE FOR PERMITTING THIS CLEARLY INAPPROPRIATE AND POSSIBLE ILLEGAL ACTION TO OCCUR STILL REMAIN ON THE CITY PAYROLL? IN MY OPINION THEY SHOULD HAVE BEEN TERMINATED IMMEDIATELY FOR ENGAGING IN SUCH CONDUCT TO SUBVERT THIS PROCESS THE RESULT OF WHICH WAS CLEARLY DESIGNED TO BE IN FAVOR OF THE DEVELOPER. THEIR ACTION/S DENYING OF PUBLIC INPUT MOST CERTAINLY REQUIRES MUCH MORE SERIOUS SANCTIONING FAR BEYOND THOSE REQUIRED UNDER JUDGE VOGEL'S RULING. AGAIN TO WHOM DO THESE CITY EMPLOYEES OWE THEIR ALLEGIANCE? IT SEEMS ABUNDANTLY CLEAR TO ME.

**WHAT?:** AS MEMBERS OF THIS BODY THAT HAS THE RESPONSIBILITY TO VOTE TO APPROVE OR DENY THIS PROJECT I URGE YOU ONE AND ALL TO AVOID THE STAIN OF "UNCLEAN" HANDS. DO THE HONORABLE AND JUSTIFIABLE THING AND VOTE NO. CONSIDER HOW THIS PROJECT HAS BEEN AIDED AND ABETTED BY CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS EMPLOYED BY THE CITY TO JAM IT TO FRUITION DESPITE OVERWHELMING CITIZEN OPPOSITION, A SUPERIOR COURT DECISION, A COUNCIL PASSED MORATORIUM ON SUCH PROJECTS, DISASTROUS RESULTS FROM PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOLAR PROJECTS, DISPOSAL ISSUES RE: SOLAR PANELS, POTENTIAL DAMAGE TO WELLS SUPPLYING WATER TO HOMES IN THE AREA FROM BLASTING, ADDITIONAL D.E.M. REGULATIONS, AND IMMEASURABLE HARM TO WILDLIFE. HONORABLE MEMBERS OF THIS COMMISSION I URGE YOU TO AVOID THE STENCH OF MANIPULATION, SUBVERSION AND OBVIOUS COLLUSION ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT - AVOID "UNCLEAN" HANDS AND VOTE NO. **THANK YOU.**

**RE: TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2023 MEETING**

THIS WAS PERHAPS THE MOST CONVOLUTED AND SCREWED UP MESS OF AN EXCUSE FOR A MEETING OF ANY GOVERNMENTAL BODY IN THE HISTORY OF THE CITY OF CRANSTON. A COMPLETE LACK OF REGARD FOR THE RIGHTS OF THE PUBLIC TO BE HEARD IN A TIMELY AND APPROPRIATE MANNER. BEING SUBJECTED TO THE FINAL AND LAST ITEM ON A VERY LENGTHY AGENDA OBVIOUSLY IN THE HOPE THAT ANYONE OBJECTING TO THIS PROJECT WOULD BE SO EXHAUSTED AND NUMBED BY THE FILIBUSTERING TYPE OF PRESENTATION BY THE APPLICANT IN THE HOPE THAT OBJECTORS WOULD EITHER LEAVE OR JUST GIVE UP THE FIGHT. HAVING NUMEROUS PRESENTERS FOR THE APPLICANT WITH THEIR LIMITLESS WORDS IS A RATHER CLEVER STRATEGY. AT ONE POINT IT SEEMED LIKE WE WERE OBSERVING A DEPOSITION OF A WITNESS BY THE LAWYER AND A LONG-TIME PLANNER FOR THE APPLICANT WHO WERE ACTING OUT A "PERRY MASON" EPISODE. EVEN CHAIRMAN MICHAEL SMITH HAD HIS EYES CLOSED SEVERAL TIMES DURING THE "ON AND ON" DRONING AND MONOTONOUS PRESENTATIONS OF THE APPLICANTS ACOLYTES. SOMEONE THOUGHT THEY MAY HAVE HEARD A BIT OF SNORING AS WELL. THE CONDUCT OF THESE MEETINGS IS IN DEFINITE NEED OF A SERIOUS RESET. PERHAPS A NEW CHAIRPERSON WOULD BE HELPFUL AT THIS POINT. THERE IS AN OLD JAPANESE SAYING THAT WHEN A FISH ROTTS IT STARTS IN THE HEAD!